

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/772,445	01/29/2001	Hynda K. Kleinman	2600-109	1045
6449	7590 01/25/2005		EXAM	INER
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C.			CHISM, BILLY D	
1425 K STR SUITE 800	1425 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 800			PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			
				_

DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/772,445	KLEINMAN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	B. Dell Chism	1654
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	l	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 No</u>	ovember 2004.	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the ments is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40,47-49,53-61 and 133-175</u> is/are	pending in the application	l <b>.</b>
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-40,47-49,53-61 and 133-175</u> are sul	bject to restriction and/or	election requirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•,	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	Irawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	on is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents</li> </ul>		119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		pplication No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori		· ·
application from the International Bureau		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not	received.
attachment(s)	•	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	-, L 1101106 01 II	w.w.n.r.ppnvullUll (    U- UL)

Art Unit: 1654

3

**DETAILED ACTION** 

1. This office action is in response to Applicants' paper filed 05 November 2004, wherein

applicants elected Group I, claims 1-40, 47-49, 53-61 and 133-136, and added new claims 137-

175. The examiner acknowledges the election of Group I, however, upon further consideration

and in view of the breadth of the generic claims, the examiner requires and election of species as

follows:

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of

the claimed invention: methods of using a composition comprising a specified amino acid

sequence with a myriad of possible additional or substitutive agents, isoforms, variants,

inhibitors, stimulators, etc...

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, all claims are generic and are not specific as to the exact

composition. The applicant is requested to specify each compound of the desired compositional

species, and by this, applicant should not state that one compound of the composition is a class,

instead, specify which member of the class is represented by that compound in the composition.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the

species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable

Application/Control Number: 09/772,445

Art Unit: 1654

thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to B. Dell Chism, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 08:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, PhD can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 09/772,445

Art Unit: 1654

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Page 4

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. Dell Chism

PATENT EXAMINER